

FAREHAM

BOROUGH COUNCIL

Report to the Executive Member for Public Protection for Decision

Portfolio:	Public Protection
Subject:	Traffic Regulation Order - Proposed Waiting Restrictions – Southampton Road, Titchfield Common
Report of:	Director of Operations
Strategy/Policy:	
Corporate Objective:	A safe and healthy place to live and work

Purpose: To inform the Executive Member of the outcome of the statutory advertisement of a proposal to introduce waiting restrictions in Southampton Road and to obtain authorisation to implement a Traffic Regulation Order.

Executive summary: This report considers the reasons for proposing waiting restrictions in Southampton Road.

Recommendation: That the waiting restrictions as shown at Appendix A are introduced.

Reason: To minimise the risks of hazards which are likely to be generated by parking associated with the opening of a hot food outlet.

Cost of Proposals: The cost of the proposals will be met by the developer.

Risk Assessment: There are no identified risks associated with this proposal.

Appendices Appendix A : Scheme drawing

Executive Briefing Paper

Date: 6 September 2016

Subject:: Traffic Regulation Order - Proposed Waiting Restrictions – Southampton Road, Titchfield Common

Briefing by: Director of Operations

Portfolio: Public Protection

Supporting Information

1. Southampton Road runs parallel to the main A27, serving a number of retail outlets. At its northern end it links into the busy Segensworth roundabout, shortly to the south of junction 9 of the M27 motorway.
2. Planning permission has been given to change the use of the former shop "Top Drawer Sports" into a hot food outlet. It is expected that this will lead to an increase in parking, with associated risks.
3. It is proposed to introduce a short length of parking restriction to protect access on and off the forecourt of the premises, and also to protect visibility when leaving the vehicular accesses which exist to the rear of this property and the property next door.

Consultations

4. The Police, Ward and County Councillors have been consulted on this proposal and expressed their support.
5. The Statutory Consultees were consulted and no objections were received.

Representations

6. The proposal was advertised in July 2016 and no responses were received.

Conclusion

7. It is therefore recommended that the proposed waiting restrictions are implemented as advertised and shown at Appendix A.